

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|---------------------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/812,520 | (| 03/30/2004 | Anthony G. Liepert | 04-04 US | 3306 |
| 23693 | 7590 | 11/22/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| Varian Inc. | | | TRIEU, THERESA | | |
| Legal Department 3120 Hansen Way D-102 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Palo Alto, (| Palo Alto, CA 94304 | | | 3748 | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 11/22/2006 | 6 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | NT | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
| | 10/812,520 | LIEPERT ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Theresa Trieu | 3748 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value and the provision of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D. (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Sept</u> | . <u>12, 2006</u> . | | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This | action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| , | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4) | are withdrawn from consideration ected. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | | | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | • | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | is have been received. Is have been received in Applications In the second seco | ion No ed in this National Stage | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D | | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other: | | | | | | |

Page 2

Art Unit: 3748

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is responsive to the applicants' amendment filed on Sept. 12, 2006.

Claims 1, 3, 11, 12, 17 and 18 have been amended. Claim 2 has been canceled. Claims 6-8, 14, 16 and 19 have been withdrawn. Accordingly, claims 1, 3-5, 9-13, 15, 17, 18 and 20 are pending in this application.

Applicants' cooperation in correcting the informalities in the specification is appreciated.

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicants' arguments with respect to claims 8 and 19 have been considered but they are not persuasive. Specifically, "four flat sections 312, 314, 316, 318 formed a closed loop having a generally square with right angle corners, connections 320, 322, 324, 326 connect flat sections to the scroll elements" contained in Fig. 9 is not contained in Fig. 4A. Therefore, claims 8 and 19 belong to species disclosed in Figure 9 and the examiner has not examined these claims. The examiner has examined claims 1, 3-5, 9-13, 15, 17, 18 and 20 which read on the elected species of Figures 4A and 7 as set forth in the Office Action mailed on June 12, 2006. Claims 8 and 19 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected species.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

I)

2. Claims 1, 3, 4, 9-13, 15, 17, 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fischer et al. (Fischer) (Patent Number 4,371,323).

Regarding claims 1, 3, 4, 9-13 and 15, Fischer (as shown in Figs. 1-3) discloses scroll pumping apparatus comprising: first and second scroll elements (1, 5); a drive mechanism (2) operatively coupled to the second scroll element for producing orbiting motion of the second scroll element relative to the first scroll element, the drive mechanism having an axis of rotation (3); and a synchronization device (16, 17, 18, 19, 22, 23) comprising a strip having connected, substantially flat sections coupled between the first and second scroll elements (1, 5 – see col. 4, line 1-9), wherein the synchronization device has a generally square configuration as view along the axis of the drive mechanism; the substantially flat sections of the synchronization device being joined by connecting sections; the connecting sections having a radius (see Fig. 2); the first scroll element comprising a stationary scroll element (1) and the second scroll element comprising an orbiting scroll element (5); scroll pumping apparatus being as a vacuum pump or as a compressor; the strip including areas for connection to the orbiting scroll element (5) and areas for connection to the stationary component (1) of the scroll pumping apparatus; the synchronization device having a closed loop configuration.

The method claims 17, 18 and 20 are inherent in the operation of Fischer device.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claim 5 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-5, 9-13, 15, 17, 18 and 20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's submission of an information disclosure statement under 37 CFR 1.97(c) with the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p) on March 30, 2004 prompted the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 609.04(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Theresa Trieu whose telephone number is 571-272-4868. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30am- 5:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/812,520

Art Unit: 3748

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thomas E. Denion can be reached on 571-272-4859. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TT

November 18, 2006

Theresa Trieu

Primary Examiner

Page 5

Art Unit 3748